

1 December 2021

2021 CAPITAL AND SOLVENCY RETURN

STRESS/SCENARIO ANALYSIS – CLASS 3A

The Bermuda Monetary Authority (the Authority) requires Class 3A insurers¹ to conduct prescribed stress/scenario testing and analysis. The results are to be submitted to the Authority as part of the 2020 year-end Capital and Solvency Return.

The objective of stress testing within the 2021 year-end Capital and Solvency Return is to assess the capital adequacy of the insurers under adverse financial market and underwriting conditions and provides a comprehensive understanding of the sector's general vulnerability to shocks. More specifically, the purpose of the tests is to assess the impact of the losses, as determined using proprietary/vendor models, on the insurer's statutory balance sheet (i.e. statutory admitted assets, admitted liabilities, and capital and surplus). Thus, these tests help determine the financial capacity of insurers to absorb the manifestation of key financial risks, such as shocks to investment performance and projected losses arising from specific underwriting risks.

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

Measurement of impact: As noted above, the insurer is to provide the post stress/scenario positions of the expected impact and effects on both statutory assets and liabilities.

Accounting treatment: The insurer is to use the accounting standard ordinarily used for statutory reporting so that the pre-stress/scenario statutory capital and surplus can be reconciled to the insurer's 2020 year-end statutory balance sheet.

Timing of impact: The stress/scenario impact and effects reported are those that would be observed immediately upon the occurrence of the event (stress/scenario) as determined by the insurer's internal or vendor model(s) (both with and without the effect of reinsurance and/or other loss mitigation instruments).

Balance sheet date: The insurer is to run the stress/scenario tests based on its balance sheet position and aggregate in-force exposures as at 1 January 2022².

Reporting currency: All amounts reported with respect to the stress scenarios must be shown in the reporting currency.

¹ In this document, the terms "insurer" and "insurers" include "reinsurer" and "reinsurers," respectively.

² Where the fiscal year does not correspond to the calendar year, in-force exposures on the day following the fiscal year-end should be used rather than 1 January 2022.

Vendor and/or internal model descriptions: To assist the Authority with comparability, the insurer is to provide a description of the vendor model(s) used to perform the stress/scenario tests, identifying what model and version was used for each stress/scenario. The acquisition of a vendor package is not an obligation. Where an internal model is utilised, the description should also include information on the internal model's key assumptions and parameters.

Confirmation of no loss exposure: For instances where the insurer has no loss exposure to a particular financial market scenario(s), underwriting loss scenario(s) and/or has no Other Underwriting Loss Scenarios, the Authority has created a new section that allows for the confirmation that fields left blank/omitted are the result of no loss exposure.

A. FINANCIAL MARKET SCENARIOS

The financial market scenarios comprise capital market-related single factor shocks triggered by specific risk factors (equity returns, credit spreads and defaults). The calibration of these shocks is based on historical data about the evolution of interest rates, exchange rates and equity markets. Further, in light of continued sovereign risk concerns and its implications on the investment performance of insurers, the financial market scenarios include haircuts on sovereign bonds. The ongoing volatility due to political risk and also volatility of capital flows warrants shocks on foreign currency positions.

| <u>Event</u> | Interpretation |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| R1 . Severe decline in equity prices | The stress test is a decrease of 40% of the value of equities in a portfolio. This stress scenario is consistent with the Black Monday crash of 1987. If there are hedging instruments for equity exposures, their hedging result should be recorded separately. If hedging is done through replication strategies or continuous rollover of assets, this should be mentioned in the stress test result. Short positions are considered hedging positions. Material equity derivative positions should also be included in the test. |
| R2 . Alternative Investments and Real Estate | This stress is related to investment holdings in hedge funds, ILSs, real estate, private placements, venture capital and other types of securities that cannot be characterised as equity, bonds, cash, foreign exchange and mutual funds in typical asset categories or participations to other corporations excluding venture capital. Usual characteristics of these assets are the low correlation with financial markets and the low or lower liquidity compared with typical financial assets. Such assets should be decreased in value by 40%. For assets such as hedge funds with lockup periods, venture capital and real estate in illiquid markets, the (re)insurer should report whether sudden decreases in their value could entail inability for rapid sale and whether this effect has material consequences. Level 3 Assets A shock of a 40.0% reduction in the value of level 3 assets should be performed. If level three assets can be found in alternative investments and real estate, equities or other categories, then those assets have to be reported and stressed separately. |

The insurer is to quantify the impact of the following stress events on its statutory balance sheet:

R3. This stress refers to an extreme movement upwards of the U.S. yield curve. The (re)insurer will use the Extreme US following risk-free yield curve for valuations of assets and liabilities. Corporates should be revalued as Will assuming constant credit spreads. For assets and liabilities with durations longer than 30 years, assume a constant rate of 4.0% from year 31.

| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| | 1.40 | 1.52 | 1.73 | 1.90 | 2.08 | 2.25 | 2.39 | 2.48 | 2.54 | 2.57 |
| Year | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
| | 2.61 | 2.63 | 2.65 | 2.68 | 2.71 | 2.73 | 2.76 | 2.78 | 2.80 | 2.82 |
| Year | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 |
| | 2.84 | 2.87 | 2.88 | 2.91 | 2.93 | 2.96 | 2.99 | 3.04 | 3.05 | 3.10 |
| _ | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 1 – Yield Curve (In Percent)

Source: BMA staff calculations and Bloomberg. Notes: This yield curve is a product of a bespoke BMA scenario generator. This yield curve represents the 99th percentile yield curve of all simulated paths of interest rates for each maturity.

R4. General Credit spreads widen across different rating classes (see Table 2). The widening reflects the increase of the perceived credit risk in the market. The table summarises the shocks.

credit spreads

| Table 2. Credit Spread Widening | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------|--------------|-------|-------|----------|
| | | In basis poi | nts | | |
| | | Rating Categ | ory | | |
| AAA | AA | Α | BBB | BB | Below BB |
| 152.1 | 198.9 | 187.9 | 188.3 | 553.3 | 4,423.4 |

Source: BMA staff calculations and Bloomberg. Notes: The 99.9th percentile was used for all but two scenarios. For AAA we used the 99th percentile, for junk bonds (ratings Below BB) we used the 99.99th percentile. The spreads in these rating classes show high (for AAA) or low (for Below BB) variability compared to the intermediate rating classes. The 99th percentile would overestimate the reasonable stress scenario for AAA assets and it would underestimate a reasonable stress scenario for Below BB. We used the Moody's bond indices for ratings from AAA to BBB and the J. P. Morgan bond indices for BB and Below BB rating classes. The reference risk free rate was the 10-year U.S. treasury rate

All positions including available for sale and held to maturity should be stressed. Structured finance products, asset-backed securities, agency and non-agency MBSs must be included as well. If there is no rating for an asset, the (re)insurer must assume that the rating is Below BB. CAT Bonds are treated as alternative investments and not as assets susceptible to credit spread changes.

R5.

Combine Combine the extreme yield curve of table 1 and the credit spread widening of table 2. This means that R1, R2, corporate bonds have to be revalued using the risk-free curve of table 1, the prevailing credit spread over today's curve plus the widening of credit spreads in table 2. Together with corporate bonds, sovereigns are to be shocked as well using the yield curve in table 1.

R6. An equal percentage of depreciation and/or appreciation of foreign exchange positions in both assets and liabilities when these shocks reduce the value of assets and increase the value of liabilities. When an FX liability is passed on the party claiming the liability, the shock can be excluded for such positions. The following table provides the percentage depreciations/appreciations. Hedging of FX positions should be reported separately, especially if hedging is done with roll-over strategies.

| Table 3. Exchange Rate Shocks (In percent) | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------|---------|-----------------|---------|----------|---------|-------|--|
| | EUR/USD | JPY/USD | GBP/USD | CHF/USD | AUD/USD | Avg. | |
| | LUN/USD | JI 1/03D | 001/050 | CIII/USD | AUD/USD | 11/g. | |
| Shock | 16.4 | 23.2 | 21.7 | 20.6 | 28.2 | 22.1 | |

Sovereign risk

| | Table 4. Reductions in Current Value of Sovereign Bonds | | | | | | |
|-----------|---------------------------------------------------------|----------|------------------------------|----------|----------|--|--|
| | <1 year | <3 years | Time to Maturity <5 years | <7 years | >7 years | | |
| Greece | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Italy | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | | |
| Portugal | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | | |
| Ukraine | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Argentina | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | | |
| Turkey | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | | |

R7. Escalation of In this test we assume that the weakest sovereigns will have to undergo a haircut in the face value of their debt. Both available for sale and held to maturity bonds should be stressed

> Source BMA staff calculations and Bloomberg. The haircuts are based on the realization of a prolonged pan-European banking crisis in Europe which will cause sovereign defaults.

R8. Inflation and Monetary Policy Risk

Inflation risk stems from the general increase of prices. Inflation decreases the value of loans and debts while it may increase the value of indemnities and claims.

Simulate a scenario similar to the 1973 inflationary scenario. The (re)insurer should apply each inflation scenario (low, medium, high, severe) for three years assuming no initial action to curb inflation from the Federal Reserve. In year four the Federal Reserve changes stance and increases rates to maintain the current real interest rate. Therefore the reinsurer should raise the yield curve across maturities for one year by 510, 730 and 1,130 basis points respectively for the medium, high and severe inflation scenario. From year five and onwards inflation and interest rates return to current levels. All assets and liabilities are to be shocked. In case that the (re)insurer holds TIPS or other inflation sensitive securities, these securities should be indexed to the inflation scenarios.

| Table 5: Inflation Scenarios (In percent) | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| Scenario | Inflation Rate | | | |
| Low Inflation | 2.5 | | | |
| Medium Inflation | 5.0 | | | |
| High Inflation | 7.1 | | | |
| Severe Inflation | 11.3 | | | |

Source: BMA staff calculations and Federal Reserve of Saint Louis. Each inflation scenario corresponds to the 50th, 80th, 90th and 99th percentile of the historical annual U.S. core inflation rates from 1957 until 2016.

B. MORTGAGE INSURANCE

The insurer is to quantify the impact of the following stress events on its statutory balance sheet:

Mortgage Part 1 - (Re)insurers that write mortgage business are to shock their exposure for this business by Loan increasing the default rate to 9.47% (equivalent to approximately 99.5% TVaR) for their Shock 1 mortgage book and applied instantaneously. Assets and liabilities subject to mortgage-related default risk should be shocked.

> Part 2 - (Re)insurers holding agency MBS and real-estate securities as investment assets subject to prepayment risk are to shock these investments by assuming that the MBS will prepay at an annual constant prepayment rate (CPR) of 40% instantaneously. If the 40% CPR produces capital gains, the insurer is to stress the CPR at 0%, 5% and 10%. The expectation is that if using a CPR of 40% produces a gain, then applying a substantially lower MBS prepayment shock rate of 10% or less will likely produce capital losses. If a registrant still reports capital gains even

after applying the lower MBS prepayment rates, then the registrant should provide sufficient comments.

Part 1 - (Re)insurers that write mortgage business are to shock their exposure for this business by assuming the default rate to be 5.5% (equivalent to approximately 90.0% TVaR) for their mortgage book and applied instantaneously. Assets and liabilities subject to mortgage-related default risk should be shocked.

Mortgage Part 2 - (Re)insurers holding agency MBS and real-estate securities as investment assets subject to prepayment risk are to shock these investments by assuming that the MBS will prepay at an annual constant prepayment rate (CPR) of 40% instantaneously. If the 40% CPR produces capital gains, the insurer is to stress the CPR at 0%, 5% and 10%. The expectation is that if using a CPR of 40% produces a gain, then applying a substantially lower MBS prepayment shock rate of 10% or less will likely produce capital losses. If a registrant still reports capital gains even after applying the lower MBS prepayment rates, then the registrant should provide sufficient comments.

C. UNDERWRITING SCENARIOS

The insurer is to submit to the Authority three of its own underwriting loss scenarios and also use these in the calculation under Section F Worst Case Annual Aggregate Catastrophe Loss Scenario below. The insurer is to submit the following for each of the three scenarios:

- a. Description of the scenarios and related key assumptions; and
- b. The post stress/scenario positions on aggregate statutory assets and statutory liabilities that would be observed immediately upon the occurrence of the event (stress/scenario) (both with and without the effect of reinsurance and/or other loss mitigation instruments).

Return Periods (Only for Class 3A insurers that write Property Catastrophe business):

- a. Occurrence return period of each event (e.g. 1-in-50 year event, 1-in-100 year event, etc.) i.e. the likelihood of an event occurring in a given year; and
- b. Relative return period (or "aggregate return period") i.e. use the underlying loss distribution of the aggregate Net Probable Maximum Loss (as submitted in the Bermuda Solvency Capital Requirement (BSCR) Risk Management Schedule V item (h) for Class 3A insurers) to calculate the corresponding return period (e.g. 1-in-50 year event, 1-in-100 year event, etc.) of each event.

Example - the return period for a loss event of \$78 billion industry loss event may occur once every 300 years (i.e. occurrence basis). The stress scenarios are specifically selected to be extreme events that have a low probability of occurring. For the Occurrence return period the Authority is seeking a comparison to how the insurer's losses under the stress scenarios compare to the insurers loss for the overall peril. For this relationship, looking at the insurer's stressed loss compared to the insurers Occurrence return period (OEP³) curve for the event is the most helpful. For the modeled events are selected based on the definitions below. This may be a single event from the catalog, or may be a small subset of events. The losses from these events are then simulated based on the exposures of the insurer. This will produce an expected loss cost to

³ The OEP represents the probability of seeing any single event within a defined period (one year in this case) with a particular loss size or greater.

the insurer under the stress scenario. This \$400m loss is compared to the insurers OEP curve for all events and is found to be at the 98th percentile. The Occurrence return period would be given as 1 in 50 years.

For the Aggregate return period (AEP⁴) the Authority is trying to assess how the insurers' losses in a stress scenario will compare to the overall AEP curve of the company. The AEP curve used should be the same curve used to inform the calculation of the net probable maximum loss and reported in the Cat Return of the BSCR. For this same event, comparing the \$400m loss to the insurers' net AEP curve for all perils combined would be at the 92nd percentile. This would be reported as a relative return period of 1 in 12.5 years.

For the OEP, the net loss impact of the stress scenario modeled using the selected events should be compared to the insurers' net OEP curve for the specified peril using all events. For the Relative return period the net loss impact of the stress scenario modeled using the selected events for a specific peril should be compared to the insurers' overall net AEP curve that was used to inform the net Probable Maximum Loss and reported in the catastrophe returns in the BSCR.

The insurer is to include demand surge and storm surge for storm events, and demand surge and fire following for earthquakes. All lines of business and exposures should be included in the final estimates; any deviations from this requirement should be noted.

D. LIABILITY LOSS ACCUMULATION SCENARIOS

The insurer/group is to complete the following scenarios which estimate potential insurance loss accumulations relating to liability exposures. The scenarios aim to capture risk on liability exposures that are generally not adequately reflected by historical claims experience. Such risks tend to materialise slowly and impact many exposure years.

a) Scenario 1 - New latent liability

The scenario aims to cover a "mass tort" event , for example following a court decision, a general and potentially legally enforceable opinion emerges that a specific product or substance causes observed or potential future adverse effects such as bodily injury, property damage or environmental damage. This is expected to lead, during the year and later, to claims on the product liability insurance of the producers, followed by mass litigation against companies that are distributing or using or have distributed or used the product or substance, leading to an accumulation of potentially worldwide claims on general commercial liability and workers compensation/employers liability insurance policies. Losses do not only arise from the current policy year but also prior years not excluded by policy terms such as "claims made" coverage or statutes of limitations. The scenario takes into consideration that the amount recognised at the end of the one-year time horizon is smaller than the maximum possible ultimate loss from the scenario, due to incompleteness of available information and uncertainty on the subsequent development.

The exposure measure for the scenario is the Net Written Premium for the most recent underwriting year onto which the following risk factors are applied.

⁴ The AEP represents the probability of seeing total annual losses of a particular amount or greater

| Selected Factors | product liability | product liability | gen comm liability | gen comm liability | empl liab/ workers comp | empl liab/ workers comp |
|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | Р | NP | Р | NP | Р | NP |
| EEA and Switzerland | 45% | 90% | 25% | 50% | 25% | 50% |
| US/Canada | 65% | 130% | 35% | 75% | 15% | 30% |
| Japan | 35% | 65% | 20% | 35% | 20% | 35% |
| China | 25% | 50% | 15% | 30% | 15% | 30% |
| Other developed markets | 30% | 60% | 15% | 35% | 15% | 35% |
| Emerging markets | 25% | 50% | 15% | 30% | 15% | 30% |

The Risk Factors are calibrated based on a 1 in 200 year market loss event which assumes to affect the eight most recent policy years for all latent liability segments with the exception of the line of business employers' liability/workers compensation (EL/WC) and the region "USA and Canada" (US/CA), for which it is three years, reflecting local statutes of limitations.

An adjustment is made to the loss calculation by applying a historical premium adjustment factor to reflect the number of prior years' exposed (subject to the pre-specified cap) and the material changes in exposures across the impacted policy years. This is approximated using the following two inputs:

- 1. Average annual growth in Net Written Premium over the years affected
- 2. Specifying the years over which the annual growth is affected

The approximation assumes a constant growth factor year on year. If insurers have been writing business for a period of less than eight years (or three for US/CA EL/WC), this should be reflected in their inputs to the stress.

Insurers whose main business is not writing 'live' business (e.g. active runoff insurers) therefore do not have material Premium/Cat Risk do not need to calculate this scenario.

b) Scenario 2 - Deterioration in existing US Asbestos and Environmental (A&E) and UK Asbestos reserves

The scenario aims to reflect potential deterioration in existing US Asbestos, US Environmental and UK Asbestos reserves and is calculated over a number of steps detailed below. Insurers with total US Asbestos and Environmental (A&E) and UK Asbestos net reserves less than \$50m do not need to calculate this scenario.

Calculation of US and A&E stress

- 1. Potential underserving in US A&E reserves Studies of US market Asbestos & Environmental reserves, performed by various parties (e.g. Fitch, AM Best...) over a number of years, have identified potential underserving in the industry for both risks. A widely used industry benchmark to asses US A&E reserve strength is the survival ratio. Step one uses the insurer's own survival ratios and uplifts their latest year-end reserves to target survival ratios of 15 and 12 for A&E reserves respectively. The information required are as follows:
 - a. Insurer's own survival ratio for their latest yearend net GAAP reserves (companies should strive to minimize any distortions in their survival ratio calculation; for example the acquisition of a new block of A&E reserves in the most recent year is likely to overstate the survival ratio if the annual payment amounts used to estimate the denominator do not also account for these newly acquired exposures)
 - b. Net GAAP reserves for US Asbestos and US Environmental for the three most recent year-ends

- c. Net Paid over the last three years for US Asbestos and US Environmental and relating only to reserves/exposures present on the insurer's books at the beginning of the year⁵. Material commutations should also be excluded from the paid in order to prevent distortions which would be 'washed away' in the industry statistics.
- 2. Increase in projected claims due to medical advances Over the last few years there have been development in immunotherapy drugs that could potentially prolong the life expectancy of mesothelioma sufferers. As a result of this, more claimants have been requesting this treatment which could potentially increase the mesothelioma claim severity (treatment, temporary accommodation, prolonged care costs...). The stress applies a small uplift (10%) to explicitly allow for such medical advances. Insurers who already have an explicit loading for medical advances may use it to offset this uplift. Unless medical developments are explicitly considered in the derivation of the insurer's future medical inflation assumption then this item is not considered to be part of the medical inflation parameter. The following information is required:
- a. Any explicit loading the insurer has included in their reserves for medical advances.
 3. Increase in projected claims inflation for US A&E reserves Assume an additive increase of 4% in the annual inflation applicable to all future claim payments. There are several potential sources of this increase including increase in the base indices, superimposed inflation, court inflation and others. The following information is required:
 - a. Latest year-end net GAAP reserves recalculated assuming an additive increase of 3% in the annual inflation applicable to all future claim payments for US Asbestos and US Environmental
 - b. Effective Duration of US Asbestos and US Environmental Liabilities.
- 4. Converting to one-year loss Insurers should provide an appropriate emergence factor in order to convert the stress loss from ultimate view to one-year view. The following information is required:
 - a. Ultimate to One-year emergence factor

The one-year emergence factor is only applied to the claims inflation stress (3) component.

Calculation of UK Asbestos stress

- 1. New claims arising beyond 2050 UK Asbestos models have historically understated the period over which new asbestos claims may arise. The initial models projected the cutoff date for new claims at 2040, this was later revised to 2050 while the latest studies suggest a further pushback of the cutoff date to 2060. This stress applies an uplift of 15% to account for new claims arising beyond 2050. Insurers who already reserve for new claims arising beyond 2050 may use this portion of the reserves to offset the stress factor. The following information is required:
 - a. The insurer's proportion of Asbestos reserves relating to new claims arising beyond 2050.
- 2. Deterioration in projected number of claims up to 2050 The nature of the Asbestos risk makes it difficult to quantify with great certainty the number of future claims arising. An example of this uncertainty is the repeated revision of the peak year of mesothelioma deaths to a later year. This stress applies an uplift of 15% to account for an increase in the number of claims reported up to 2050. No inputs from the insurer are required for this component.
- 3. Increase in projected claims due to medical advances Over the last few years there have been development in immunotherapy drugs that could potentially prolong the life expectancy of mesothelioma sufferers. As a result of this more claimants have been requesting this treatment which could potentially increase the mesothelioma claim severity (treatment, temporary

⁵ This ensures that the payments are 'matched' to the opening reserves

accommodation, prolonged care costs...). The stress applies a small uplift (10%) to explicitly allow for such medical advances. Insurers who already have an explicit loading for medical advances may use it to offset this uplift. Unless medical developments are explicitly considered in the derivation of the insurer's future medical inflation assumption then this item is not considered to be part of the medical inflation parameter. The following information is required:

- a. Any explicit loading the insurer has included in their reserves for medical advances.
- 4. Increase in projected claims inflation for UK Asbestos reserves Assume an additive increase of 3% in the annual inflation applicable to all future claim payments. There are several potential sources of this increase including increase in the base indices, superimposed inflation, court inflation and others. The following information is required:
 - a. Latest yearend net GAAP reserves recalculated assuming an additive increase of 3% in the annual inflation applicable to all future claim payments for UK Asbestos.
 - b. Effective Duration of UK Asbestos Liabilities.
- 5. Converting to one-year loss Insurers should provide an appropriate emergence factor in order to convert the stress loss from ultimate view to one-year view. The following information is required:
 - a. Ultimate to One-year emergence factor

The one-year emergence factor is only applied to the claims inflation stress (4) and the claims count stress (2) components.

c) Scenario 3 – Insurer specific A&E reserve deterioration scenario

Insurers with material A&E reserves should develop their own loss scenario(s) and include it in the 'Other Underwriting Loss Scenarios' section. The assumptions underlying the scenario should also be attached.

E. RATING DOWNGRADE

The insurer is to submit detailed qualitative disclosure of the impact upon both its statutory statement of income and liquidity positions of a ratings downgrade of its Bermuda legal entity by two notches or below A-, whichever is lower. The disclosure should cover and provide an indication of the relative impact/severity of collateral requirements, loss payment triggers on in-force policy contracts, claw-backs, and/or other adverse financial and liquidity implications of the downgrade.

Upon reviewing the disclosure, the Authority may request additional information relating to the liquidity impact and potential losses.

F. WORST-CASE ANNUAL AGGREGATE CATASTROPHE LOSS SCENARIO

The insurer is to submit the following:

1. A combination of a financial market scenario and three largest underwriting scenarios

The aggregate impact of:

- a. A financial market scenario under Section A above which would result simultaneously in the occurrence of R5; and
- b. An aggregation of the three net underwriting losses under Section III above.

It is assumed that the underwriting loss events follow in quick succession and there is the inability to engage in capital or other fundraising activities. Further, it is assumed that there is no geographic correlation between these non-economic events. The insurer is to disclose its assumptions, including any magnified demand surge, if applicable, from the multiple events.

2. Insurer specific worst-case scenario

The insurer is to submit a description of its own worst-case annual aggregate loss scenario and the underlying assumptions. The scenario should be at a level considered extreme but plausible by the insurer.

G. REVERSE STRESS TEST SCENARIO

If an insurer performs reverse stress testing (as outlined in the CISSA IX(b) question 4), then the insurer is to provide the key assumptions, which includes specific market risk scenarios, loss figures and return period that would cause such business failure. Such scenarios should be reported and should be contrasted with the scenarios in the current guidelines, i.e. whether worse or better scenarios than those provided by the BMA cause the (reinsurance company to fail.

If the insurer does not perform Reserve Stress Tests, then insurers are to calculate the clearance between their available economic statutory capital and surplus and enhanced capital requirement (ECR) to determine the size of loss that would cause them to breach their ECR and provide the occurrence and relative return period of such event.

H. TECHNOLOGY RISK

If an (re)insurer writes cyber risk (re)insurance products, it shall provide information on the cyber risk policies in force, cyber risk premiums and cyber risk claims/losses. The cyber risk policy with the largest exposure as well as the cyber underwriting risk appetite/limits shall be attached in the attachment section of the BSCR model. For non-cyber specific insurance policies, the (re)insurer shall disclose for the various lines of business whether cyber exclusion clause is applied consistently on all policies, and in cases where it is not, the estimated gross earned premium in the policy shall be disclosed. The (re)insurer shall describe their own cyber risk worst-case annual aggregate loss scenario and attach in the attachment section of the BSCR the underlying assumptions for the scenario.

All (re)insurers, including those that do not underwrite cyber risk, shall complete the questions in section 3b -'Insurer own cyber security and resilience capabilities'. Responses will be selected from the drop down list or typed in as required and relevant documents will be included indicating the document name and identifying the applicable page numbers.

| Line | Item | Description / Guidance |
|------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Ι | Cyber Risk | If a (re)insurer/group writes cyber risk (re)insurance products, it shall provide the number of policies written, premiums (on a gross and net basis) for the reporting period, details of the policy limit (on a gross and net basis) and if the policy has no limit, the estimated maximum loss for that business, and confirmation if the insurer/group is a reinsurer on the underlying policy. (Re)insurers that have (re)insurance policies that include cyber risk exposure by reason of not containing a cyber-exclusion clause (such as D&O that include cyber risk) shall provide an attachment in the BSCR model detailing the (re)insurance products that have these exposures. |
| I.a).1.d). | Name of the Parent Bermuda (re)insurer | Please specify the immediate parent, which is another Commercial (re)insurer. |
| (i) | Total number of cyber policies in force (units) | All information in relation to policies in force must be as of the 1st day of the month following year-end. For example for year ended 31 December 2020, the policies in force date will be 1 January 2021. |
| | Reinsurance Policies | For RAD policies, the Group/(Re)Insurer is expected to make the necessary assumptions in relation to general terms of the policies written. |

Below is additional guidance to complete this section:

| | Package | For cyber risk written as part of a package, indicate the data that relates to the cyber risk alone. In cases where the premium or other amounts specific to cyber risk are not broken out separately, indicate your best estimate amounts. |
|-------|--------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| (ii) | Gross Exposure for Policies in force ('\$000) | The amount reported should be the Group/(Re)Insurer's total gross exposure for policies in force. Where a Group/(Re)Insurer participate in syndicated policies, only the Group/(Re)Insurer's share should form part of the reported amount. |
| (iii) | Net Exposure for Policies in force (\$'000) | The amount reported should be Gross exposure less reinsurance (to include QS and retro). |
| (vii) | Net Loss Reserves (\$'000) | The amount reported should be Gross Loss Reserves less reinsurance. |
| | Package | For cyber risk written as part of a package, indicate the data that relates to the cyber risk alone. In cases where the premium or other amounts specific to cyber risk are not broken out separately, indicate your best estimate amounts. |
| | Related Party Business | A (re)insurer must provide details related to the proportion of the business written in relation to related parties. |
| | Unrelated Business (third party) | A (re)insurer must provide details related to the proportion of the business written in relation to unrelated parties. |
| (ii) | Gross Exposure for Policies in force (\$000) | The amount reported should be the Group/(Re)Insurer's total gross exposure for policies in force. Where a Group/(Re)Insurer participate in syndicated policies, only the Group/(Re)Insurer's share should form part of the reported amount. |
| (iii) | Net Exposure for Policies in force (\$'000) | The amount reported should be Gross exposure less reinsurance. |
| | Location | This is the location where coverage is provided for. For Example: if a policy is written in Bermuda to provide coverage for the United States, then the location should be United States. |
| | Cyber Underwriting risk appetite and limits | Please include as part of BSCR attachments, a document which show the risk appetite and limits. If this is already included in the GSSA/CISSA you do not need to attach a separate document. |
| | Location | This is the location where coverage is provided for. For Example: if a policy is written in Bermuda to provide coverage for the United States, then the location should be United States. |

| | Cyber Underwriting risk appetite and limits | Please include as part of BSCR attachments, a document which show the risk appetite (both affirmative and non-affirmative) and limits. If this is already included in the GSSA/CISSA or other attachment, you do not need to attach a separate document. |
|---|---------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | ESTIMATED Potential Gross Exposure | A Group/(Re)Insurer must provide an estimate of the potential exposure for each line of business exposed to non-affirmative cyber claims. Examples include: where there is a sublimit related to technology risks, the potential exposure may be the total sublimit and for an all risk policy, potential exposure might be the total limit for such a policy. All this will be the Group/(Re)Insurer's share only. N.B The BMA is aware that there are instances where it is not straightforward to come up with estimates given the nature of the risk and how policies are structured. In this case, companies are encouraged to use any other reasonable basis to come up with the potential exposure. A document specifying how the company determined the potential exposure should be included in the filing as an attachment. |
| | Cyber losses incurred on policies with no cyber exclusion clause | If the entity paid a cyber-loss as part of a loss for a non-cyber policy, then indicate the answer as "Yes", otherwise respond with "No". |

| Line | Item | Description / Guidance | | | | |
|------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 3 | • | inderwriting scenarios are generated by a perpetrator that is not a nation state and that do not trigger any cyber war or other similar exclusions | | | | |
| | For reinsurers, please calculate separately on the basis that these attacks are deemed both as one event and as ten separate events, returning whichever causes the largest net loss. | | | | | |
| | Affirmative Q Gross estimation | of these scenarios, we define Materiality to be either one the following metrics: Cyber GWP represents 15% or more of the total GWP for the current year ted affirmative cyber loss accounts for 10% of the current capital and surplus (C&S) ted non-affirmative cyber loss accounts for 5% of the current C&S. | | | | |
| | Cloud Outage | <u>Event Definition:</u> A cyber-attack leading to the loss of availability of a company's cloud hosting services (i.e. the cloud hosted services that a company uses) resulting in loss of availability of critical services and functions, and that disaster recovery is assumed to have failed to recover services. Impacts multinational organisations across industrial sector with the intention of causing major disruption and financial loss to organisations. The attacks target vulnerabilities in the cloud hosting systems, web based applications and/or software used by these organisations. Multiple systems and/or multiple organisations using the same cloud hosting providers are affected. | | | | |

| 1 | | |
|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | <u>Stress Test Assumptions:</u> Assume the denial of service affects your top 10 policyholders (based on gross cyber exposure/limits) due to an attack on a single cloud hosting company worldwide. The impact of the loss availability of all IT services is for 72 weekday hours. All IT services mean both services hosted internally and externally by cloud hosting companies |
| I | Ransomware | Event Definition: A widespread malicious software attack that infects many policyholders' operations, resulting in ransom payments to recover compromised computer systems and internal data. Policyholder's operations are disrupted and may result in loss or corruption of sensitive data. All IT services become totally unavailable for 72 weekday hours. All IT services can be taken to mean both services hosted internally and also those hosted externally by cloud hosting companies). Assume that disaster recovery efforts have failed to prevent the outage of services. These outages cause severe disruption of the IT operations of the policyholders. Severe is defined as no desktops, servers or IT services being available. |
| | | <u>Stress Test Assumptions:</u> Assume the impact affects the top 10 policyholders based on cyber gross exposure/limits Assume that all client data at these organizations is lost, pursuing class actions, resulting in the insurer facing first and third party liability claims. |
| I | Data Breach | <u>Event Definition:</u> Both of the following 2 categories of data are exfiltrated from the company in large volume. 1) Sensitive internal data and 2) Confidential client data, such as personally identifiable information (PII) at these organisations is lost and the company faces third party liability claims The Organisation impacted face financial penalties arising from: 1) contracts with customers (customers can be taken to be both private individuals and companies); 2) Fines from international regulators. |
| | | Stress Test Assumptions: - Impacts the top 10 policyholders by cyber gross exposure/limits worldwide - The attack disrupts the policyholder operations for a duration of 24 hours. |
| | Estimated Gross Loss | The amount reported should be the Group/(Re)Insurer's total estimated loss impact from the prescribed stress scenario, for both affirmative and non-affirmative covers, BEFORE reinsurance/retrocession recoveries. Where a Group/(Re)Insurer participate in syndicated policies, only the |
| | Estimated Net | Group/(Re)Insurer's share should form part of the reported amount Gross exposure less any recoveries from reinsurance or retrocession |

| Total Gross Loss Affirmative Cyber Cover | Take into consideration the following: First party loss notification, associated costs and breach management costs, including crisis management Business Interruption (excluding physical damage) Contingent business interruption Third party liability losses Regulatory defence, legal fees and fines covered amounts Other losses specified in the cyber policy. |
|---------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Total Net Loss - Affirmative Cyber Cover | Net loss relating to the portion of the total stress scenario, covered by affirmative covers, after reinsurance benefits |
| Cyber Gross Premium Written | GPW relating to portion of the loss covered by affirmative cyber policies |
| Cyber Net Premium Written | NPW relating to portion of the loss covered by affirmative cyber policies |
| Occurrence Return Period | Of each event (e.g. 1-in-50 year event, 1-in-100 year event, etc.) i.e. the likelihood of an event occurring in a given year; In this case, specify the return period of the estimate gross loss from the specific scenario against an insurers own gross cyber catastrophe distribution. |

| Line | Item | Description / Guidance |
|------|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 4 | Worst-case annual aggregate loss scenario description | Provide details of specific scenarios used to derive the Worst Case Scenario loss worst case scenarios used, including average gross policy limits, the frequency and average severity assumptions used to develop the loss estimate. Scenarios should be used for affirmative cyber coverage only. |